# Silver(I)-Promoted *ipso*-Nitration of Carboxylic Acids by Nitronium Tetrafluoroborate

Palani Natarajan,* Renu Chaudhary, and Paloth Venugopalan

Department of Chemistry & Centre for Advanced Studies in Chemistry, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160 014, India

Ⓢ *Supporting Information*

ABSTRACT: A novel and efficient method for the regioselective nitration of a series of aliphatic and aromatic carboxylic acids to their corresponding nitro compounds using nitronium tetrafluoroborate and silver carbonate in dimethylacetamide has been described. This transformation is believed to proceed via the alkyl-silver or aryl-silver intermediate, which subsequently reacts with the nitronium ion to form nitro substances. Mild reaction conditions, tolerant of a broad range of functional groups, and formation of only the *ipso*-nitrated products are the key features of this methodology when compared to known methods for syntheses of nitroalkyls and nitroarenes.

INTRODUCTION

The *ipso*-nitration reaction,[1] employed for the synthesis of a wide variety of nitro compounds, is a practical alternative to the classical electrophilic nitration reaction that encounters several problems including polynitration and competitive oxidation of substrates. Although several leaving groups such as alkyls,[2] acyls,[3] boronic acids,[4] esters,[3] halogens,[3c,5] sulfonic acids,[6] and carboxylic acids[7] were found to be displaced by a nitro group, only *ipso*-nitration of arylboronic acids has been studied intricately and consistently.[4] Undoubtedly, reported methods of deborylative nitration of arylboronic acids are useful; however, the principal drawbacks associated with these protocols are use of highly toxic and explosive reagents such as bismuth nitrate,[4a] cadmium nitrate,[4b] mixture of sodium nitrate and palladium,[4c] etc.[1] Moreover, many boronic acids[8] are unstable (readily lose water/boron at ambient conditions) and expensive.[9] As a consequence, the elaborate and systematic study of replacement of other substituent/s (i.e., alkyls/acyls/carboxylic esters/halogens/sulfonic acids/carboxylic acids) by a nitro group is very desirable and remains a challenging area for exploration.

Carboxylic acids[10] have long served as precursors for a wide array of organic transformations, because they are inexpensive,[9] easy to handle, chemically nontoxic, straightforward to synthesize, air and moisture insensitive, and readily available in great structural forms.[10c] In addition, huge numbers of homo- and cross-coupling reactions making use of carboxyl substituents as leaving groups for regioselective couplings have been reported.[11] Circumstantially only a single report on the *ipso*-nitration of aromatic carboxylic acids, using cellulose supported copper nanoparticles and bismuth(III) nitrate, has been described so far.[12] Further investigations would thus be crucial to make the decarboxylative nitration reaction more

efficient and practical for the synthesis of potentially useful nitro compounds.

It is well-known that carboxylic acids undergo decarboxylation most efficiently in the presence of silver salts (relative to copper salts) to generate a carbon nucleophile *in situ*.[13] This proficiency is likely due to their (silver salts) lower electronegativity and greater expansion of d-orbitals, which promote the decarboxylation of substrates.[13a] Of course, various silver salts have been employed as catalysts for the decarboxylative carbon−carbon, carbon−silicon, carbon−oxygen, carbon−boron, carbon−sulfur, carbon−phosphorus, and carbon−halogen bond-forming reactions.[11,13,14] Inspired by these reports and our previous works on the decarboxylative oxidation of polycyclic aromatic compounds,[15] herein we describe a novel and efficient approach for the *ipso*-nitration of a broad range of carboxylic acids with nitronium tetrafluoroborate (NO_2BF_4) as a nitrating agent and silver carbonate (Ag_2CO_3) as a decarboxylation reagent in dimethylacetamide (DMA), cf. Scheme 1. To the best of our knowledge, no attempt to perform the *ipso*-nitration of carboxylic acids using a mixture of NO_2BF_4 and Ag_2CO_3 has been reported in the literature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to optimize the reaction condition, benzoic acid (**1C**) was chosen as a model substrate, and NO_2BF_4 and Ag_2CO_3, respectively, were used as nitrating as well as decarboxylation agents. The results of optimization reactions performed under a variety of conditions are summarized in Table 1. Investigations of the model reaction in various anhydrous solvents including

Scheme 1. NO$_2$BF$_4$ and Ag$_2$CO$_3$ Mediated Decarboxylative Nitration of Carboxylic Acids to Their Corresponding Nitro Compounds Reported in This Work

$$R{-}COOH \xrightarrow[\substack{DMA,\ 90\ ^{\circ}C,\ 12\ h \\ (79\ \text{-}\ 93\%)}]{NO_2BF_4/Ag_2CO_3} R{-}NO_2 \qquad R = alkyl/aryl$$

acetonitrile, chloroform, dichloromethane, dichloroethane, DMA, tetrahydrofuran, and tetrachloroethane suggested that anhydrous DMA was the best medium for the *ipso*-nitration of **1C** (Table 1 and entry 5). This likely reason is that a relatively polar solvent is necessary to dissolve Ag$_2$CO$_3$ and NO$_2$BF$_4$, since they have limited solubility in medium polar solvents.

There was next an attempt to arrive at an optimum stoichiometry of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ and NO$_2$BF$_4$ to **1C** (1.0 mmol) for **1N** synthesis in DMA. As shown in Table 1 (entries 5, 9, 10, and 11), higher amounts of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ neither increased the product yield nor lowered the reaction time drastically. The best result (Table 1 and entry 8) was obtained by carrying out the reaction with 0.5 mmol of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ to 1.0 mmol of **1C**. Under this stoichiometric condition, alternative bases such as K$_2$CO$_3$ (Table 1 and entry 12) and Na$_2$CO$_3$ (Table 1 and entry

13) provided no product indicating the importance of a silver ion that helps stabilize the resulting anion upon loss of carbon dioxide. Similar behaviors have been reported for several silver(I) catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions.[13,14] Further optimization of the amount of NO$_2$BF$_4$ showed that a slight excess (0.5 mmol) is necessary to achieve high yields (Table 1 and entry 14) of **1N** in short duration. It is noteworthy that NO$_2$BF$_4$ was effective only in the presence of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ (Table 1 and entry 18). Likewise, in the absence of NO$_2$BF$_4$ but with 1.0/2.0 mmol of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ (Table 1 and entries 19 and 20) no nitration was detected. Clearly, both NO$_2$BF$_4$ and Ag$_2$CO$_3$ are essential for the reaction. Finally, raising the temperature from 80 to 120 °C with 10 °C increments/experiment led to **1N** in more than 90% yield in significantly short duration, cf., Table 1 and entries 21−23. No product formation was noticed when the reaction was carried out at room temperature (Table 1 and entry 24). Thus, the optimized conditions for the *ipso*-nitration of carboxylic acids can be defined as follows: 1.0 mmol of substrate, 1.5 mmol of NO$_2$BF$_4$, 0.5 mmol of Ag$_2$CO$_3$, anhydrous DMA, and 90 ± 5 °C. Under this optimized condition, formation of **1N** from **1C** was confirmed by the ^{1}H NMR titration experiments as well, cf., Figure 1. The easily recognizable *ortho* protons (of **1C**) at δ

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Silver Carbonate-Promoted *ipso*-Nitration of Carboxylic Acids[a]

$$\text{1C} \quad \text{COOH} \xrightarrow[\text{solvent},\ \triangle]{NO_2BF_4/Ag_2CO_3} \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{1N}$$

entry	Ag$_2$CO$_3$[b] (mmol)	NO$_2$BF$_4$[b] (mmol)	T (°C)	solvent[c]	time (h)	yield[d] (%)
1	1.0	1.0	80	acetonitrile	36	< 5
2	1.0	1.0	80	chloroform	36	< 5
3	1.0	1.0	80	dichloromethane	36	NR
4	1.0	1.0	80	dichloroethane	36	NR
5	1.0	1.0	80	DMA	18	88
6	1.0	1.0	80	tetrahydrofuran	36	<5
7	1.0	1.0	80	tetrachloroethane	36	NR
8	0.5	1.0	80	DMA	18	87
9	1.5	1.0	80	DMA	18	89
10	2.0	1.0	80	DMA	16	87
11	3.0	1.0	80	DMA	16	88
12	0.5[e]	1.0	80	DMA	36	NR
13	0.5[f]	1.0	80	DMA	36	NR
14	0.5	1.5	80	DMA	15	93
15	0.5	2.0	80	DMA	14	92
16	0.5	2.5	80	DMA	12	93
17	0.5	3.0	80	DMA	12	94
18	0	2.0	80	DMA	36	NR[g]
19	1.0	0	80	DMA	36	NR[h]
20	2.0	0	80	DMA	36	NR[h]
21	0.5	1.5	90	DMA	13	92
22	0.5	1.5	100	DMA	12	91
23	0.5	1.5	110	DMA	12	89
24	0.5	1.5	20	DMA	48	NR
25	0.5	1.0	80	DMA	18	79[i]
26	0.5	1.5	90	DMA	13	84[i]
27	0.5	1.5	110	DMA	12	81[i]

[a]Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out with 1.0 mmol of **1C** and the indicated amounts of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ and NO$_2$BF$_4$ under nitrogen gas atmosphere. [b]Ag$_2$CO$_3$ and NO$_2$BF$_4$ were dried under vacuum before use. [c]Double distilled solvents were employed. [d]Yield of **1N** was determined by GC analysis using an internal standard. [e]K$_2$CO$_3$ used instead of Ag$_2$CO$_3$. [f]Na$_2$CO$_3$ used instead of Ag$_2$CO$_3$. [g]Obtained 3-nitrobenzoic acid (<10%). [h]Detected decarboxylated compound. [i]Reaction performed under open air atmosphere. DMA: dimethylacetamide. NR: no reaction.

Figure 1. ^{1}H NMR spectroscopic monitoring (0−12 h) of conversion of **1C** into **1N** in DMA-d_3 solution. Notice that a new peak (*) at 8.19 ppm gradually appeared, while a signal (♦) at 8.12 ppm has disappeared indicating the product (**1N**) formation.

= 8.12 ppm completely disappeared within 12 h, while a new peak at δ = 8.19 ppm corresponding to **1N** originated.

Encouraged by the remarkable results obtained with the above reaction conditions (Table 1), and to show the generality of this new protocol, it was applied to a series of electronically diversified aliphatic and aromatic carboxylic acids (Table 2). We were delighted to find that our protocol tolerates a wide range of functionalities. Indeed, aryl-/heteroaryl-/polyaryl carboxylic acids with electron donating (CH_3, OCH_3, C_6H_5) and withdrawing (F, Cl, Br, CN, CF_3, CHO, $COOCH_3$) groups afforded moderate to good yields of corresponding nitroarenes (Table 2). Similarly, no significant effect was observed for sterically demanding substrates that gave good yields of expected nitro compounds (Table 2 and entries 3, 10, 12, 16, 20−28). This method, moreover, is applicable to the nitrodecarboxylation of aliphatic carboxylic acids (Table 2 and entries 22−28) too. Therefore, the present methodology proved of general applicability for the *ipso*-nitration of carboxylic acids to nitro derivatives.

To demonstrate the applicability of this method, we aimed to prepare two nitro drugs, namely, fexinidazole (**30N**)[43] and nitazoxanide (**31N**)[44] (Scheme 2), from their carboxylic acid precursors under standard conditions described in Table 1. In both cases, the reactions were complete within 12 h affording the desired products in 71−82% yield. Thus, the *ipso*-nitration reaction described here offers a domain for synthesis of highly functionalized nitro compounds (Table 2) including nitro drugs (Scheme 2) that cannot be achieved by traditional electrophilic nitration reaction[1] known to overoxidize the substrate.

The plausible reaction mechanism for the *ipso*-nitration of carboxylic acids is outlined in Figure 2 on the basis of blank experiments (Table 1 and entries 18−20 and 25−27) and earlier reports.[45] To understand the roles of NO_2BF_4 and Ag_2CO_3, we carried out blank experiments with **1C** and NO_2BF_4 (in the absence of Ag_2CO_3, Table 1 and entry 18) as well as with **1C** and Ag_2CO_3 (in the absence of NO_2BF_4, Table

1 and entries 19−20), and the reactions did not succeed, indicating both NO_2BF_4 and Ag_2CO_3 played an important role in the product formation. Ag(I) salts have been shown to mediate the decarboxylation of carboxylic acids in solutions.[13,14] Accordingly, the proposed mechanism (Figure 2) starts with an anion exchange at the silver center to give the metal carboxylate, which in turn provides an arylmetal species by extrusion of carbon dioxide. A subsequent reaction with nitronium ion results in the desired nitro compound forming, leaving the silver tetrafluoroborate as a byproduct. It is noteworthy to mention that, in the absence of NO_2BF_4, only the decarboxylated compound was detected indicating the formation of aryl-silver species as an intermediate.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have introduced the mixture of nitronium tetrafluoroborate and silver carbonate as an efficient and novel reagent system for the synthesis of a variety of nitro compounds including fexinidazole (**30N**) and nitazoxanide (**31N**) from their carboxylic acid precursors. The principal advantages of this method include regioselectivity, use of relatively inexpensive carboxylic acids as precursors, broad tolerance of functional groups, moderate to good yields of targeted products, as well as avoidance of the use of strong acids. We believe that the *ipso*-nitration reaction reported here may be a valuable methodology for the synthesis of a wide range of functional materials[46] including drugs, dyes, explosives, pharmaceuticals, and plastics derived from nitro feedstocks.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Aspects. Solvents were double distilled prior to use. All commercial chemicals were used as received. All reactions were carried out under nitrogen gas atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Reactions were monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography on silica gel with visualization under UV light. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel using 60−120 mesh powder. NMR spectra

Table 2. Substrate Scope for the Decarboxylative Nitration of Alkyl and Aryl Carboxylic Acids to Nitro Compounds[a]

$$R\text{—}COOH \xrightarrow[\text{DMA, 12 h, 90 }^{\circ}\text{C}]{\text{NO}_2\text{BF}_4/\text{Ag}_2\text{CO}_3 \ (1.5{:}0.5)} R\text{—}NO_2$$

R = alkyl/aryl

Entry	Substrate	Product	[b]Yield (%)	mp (lit. mp,°C)	Entry	Substrate	Product	[b]Yield (%)	mp (lit. mp,°C)
1	2C	2N	87	35 (35-36)[16]	16	17C	17N	74	37 (38)[31]
2	3C	3N	85	52-53 (52)[17]	17	18C	18N	79	108-109 (108-110)[32]
3	4C	4N	79	54-55 (54-55)[18]	18	19C	19N	81	199-201 (200)[33]
4	5C	5N	78	96-97 (95-96)[19]	19	20C	20N	83	121-122 (120-122)[34]
5	6C	6N	84	124-125 (123-124)[20]	20	21C	21N	81	169-171 (171-172)[35]
6	7C	7N	81	141-142 (140-142)[21]	21	22C	22N	86	295 (296)[36]
7	8C	8N	86	40 (39-41)[22]	22	23C	23N	79	oil (oil)[37]
8	9C	9N	87	90-91 (91-92)[23]	23	24C	24N	82	oil (oil)[38]
9	10C	10N	80	45-46 (46-47)[24]	24	25C	25N	79	158-159 (159)[39]
10	11C	11N	78	43 (42-44)[25]	25	26C	26N	77	oil (oil)[40]
11	12C	12N	87	104-105 (103-104)[26]	26	27C	27N	80	oil (oil)[40]
12	13C	13N	88	35-36 (36-37)[27]	27	28C	28N	78	oil (oil)[41]
13	14C	14N	84	137-138 (137-138)[28]	28	29C	29N	69	215 (214)[42]
14	15C	15N	83	174-175 (174-176)[29]					
15	16C	16N	86	186 (185-186)[30]					

[a]Unless stated otherwise, all reactions were performed with carboxylic acid (1.0 mmol), NO₂BF₄ (1.5 mmol), and Ag₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol) in DMA at 90 ± 5 °C under nitrogen gas atmosphere. [b]Isolated yield. The products were characterized by their comparison with known compounds.

were recorded using a 300 MHz spectrometer in deuterated solvents. Melting points were obtained at a heating rate of 2°/min and are uncorrected.

General Procedure for the *ipso*-Nitration of Carboxylic Acids. To a suspension of carboxylic acid (1.0 mmol) and Ag₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol) in 10 mL of DMA was added NO₂BF₄ (1.5 mmol). The resultant mixture was stirred at 90 ± 5 °C for 12 h. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature, diluted with 20 mL of water, and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 × 15 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and evaporated in a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by either column chromatography on silica gel using 5−20% ethyl acetate in hexane or recrystallization employing ethyl acetate−hexane mixture to afford the desired product. The purity of the compound was confirmed by melting point and ¹H and ¹³C NMR measurements, vide infra.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Fexinidazole (30N, Top) and Nitazoxanide (31N, Bottom) from their Carboxylic Acid Precursors


Figure 2. Proposed reaction mechanism for the decarboxylative nitration reaction.

Nitrobenzene (1N). Pale yellow oil (115 mg, 93%). R_f 0.81 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.64 (m, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 123.4, 129.4, 134.7, 148.1.

5-Nitro-2-furaldehyde (2N).[16] Yellow solid (123 mg, 87%), mp 35 °C (lit. 35–36 °C).[16] R_f 0.67 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.82 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 9.97 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 111.8, 119.3, 151.2, 178.9.

4-Nitrotoluene (3N).[17] Light-yellow solid (117 mg, 85%), mp 52–53 °C (lit. 52 °C).[17] R_f 0.80 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 2.46 (s, 3H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 21.5, 123.5, 129.8, 146.1, 146.5.

3-Methyl-4-nitroanisole (4N).[18] Light-yellow solid (130 mg, 79%), mp 54–55 °C (lit. 54–55 °C).[18] R_f 0.72 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 2.62 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 21.6, 55.8, 111.9, 117.5, 127.5, 137.0, 142.2, 163.2.

2-Fluoro-4-nitroanisole (5N).[19] Tan solid (134 mg, 78%), mp 96–97 °C (lit. 95–96 °C).[19] R_f 0.71 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 4.01 (s, 3H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 56.9, 112.4 (d, J = 24 Hz), 120.9, 140.8 (d, J = 6.7 Hz), 149.4, 152.3 (d, J = 215 Hz), 153.6.

4-Bromonitrobenzene (6N).[20] Yellow solid (170 mg, 84%), mp 124–125 °C (lit. 123–124 °C).[20] R_f 0.82 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.69 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 125.0, 129.9, 132.7, 147.1.

4-Nitrobenzonitrile (7N).[21] Light-yellow solid (120 mg, 81%), mp 141–142 °C (lit. 140–142 °C).[21] R_f 0.56 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.33 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 116.8, 118.2, 124.3, 133.5, 149.9.

4-(Trifluoromethyl)nitrobenzene (8N).[22] Yellow solid (164 mg, 86%), mp 40 °C (lit. 39–41 °C).[22] R_f 0.72 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.86 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.48 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 121.7, 124.4 (q, J = 32 Hz), 127.5, 137.2 (q, J = 3 Hz), 150.3 (q, J = 260 Hz).

Methyl-4-nitrobenzoate (9N).[23] Light-yellow solid (158 mg, 87%), mp 90–91 °C (lit. 91–92 °C).[23] R_f 0.54 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 3.99 (s, 3H), 8.24 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 8.28 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 52.8, 123.4, 130.7, 135.5, 150.6, 165.0.

3-Chloronitrobenzene (10N).[24] Light-yellow solid (126 mg, 80%), mp 45–46 °C (lit. 46–47 °C).[24] R_f 0.79 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.49–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 121.7, 123.8, 130.5, 134.7, 135.5, 148.9.

2-Nitrobenzaldehyde (11N).[25] Light-yellow solid (118 mg, 78%), mp 43 °C (lit. 42–44 °C).[25] R_f 0.57 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.76–7.84 (m, 3H), 8.05–8.13 (m, 1H), 10.43 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 124.5, 129.7, 131.4, 133.8, 134.3, 149.6, 188.2.

7-Nitro-1-tetralone (12N).[26] Yellow solid (166 mg, 87%), mp 104–105 °C (lit. 103–104 °C).[26] R_f 0.55 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 2.04–2.09 (m, 2H), 2.72–2.80 (m, 4H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 22.2, 29.7, 38.6, 122.5, 127.0, 130.2, 133.3, 147.2, 150.9, 195.9.

2-Nitrobiphenyl (13N).[27] Light-yellow solid (175 mg, 88%), mp 35–36 °C (lit. 36–37 °C).[27] R_f 0.72 in ethyl acetate–hexane (0.5:9.5). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.34–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.54 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.91 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 125.8, 129.7, 130.1, 130.6, 133.7, 134.5, 137.6, 139.5, 151.4.

4-Nitrodibenzo[b,d]furan (14N).[28] Yellow solid (179 g, 84%), mp 137–138 °C (lit. 137–138 °C).[28] R_f 0.67 in ethyl acetate–hexane (1:9). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.46–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.99 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 112.4, 120.8, 122.2, 122.7, 122.9, 124.0, 126.9, 128.3, 128.9, 134.0, 148.5, 156.8.

4-Nitro-9-fluorenone (15N).[29] Lemon-yellow solid (187 mg, 83%), mp 174–175 °C (lit. 174–176 °C).[29] R_f 0.57 in ethyl acetate–hexane (1:9). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.44–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 124.9, 125.9, 128.6, 129.9, 130.3, 134.4, 135.7, 136.5, 137.2, 140.5, 145.0, 191.2.

2-Nitroanthraquinone (16N).[30] Yellow solid (218 mg, 86%), mp 186 °C (lit. 185–186 °C).[30] R_f 0.47 in ethyl acetate–hexane (2:8). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.86–7.92 (m, 2H), 8.35–8.47 (m, 2H), 8.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (m, 1H), 9.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 121.2, 126.27, 126.31, 126.6, 127.8, 131.7, 131.8, 133.2, 133.6, 135.5, 149.8, 179.6, 180.1.

3-Nitro-2,6-lutidine (17N).[31] Brownish yellow solid (113 mg, 74%), mp 37 °C (lit. 38 °C).[31] R_f 0.32 in ethyl acetate–hexane (2:8). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 2.61 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 24.5, 24.9, 121.8, 133.5, 143.9, 154.1, 163.8.

5-Nitroisoquinoline (18N).[32] Yellow solid (138 mg, 79%), mp 108–109 °C (lit. 108–110 °C).[32] R_f 0.30 in ethyl acetate–hexane (2:8). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.72–7.75 (m, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.38 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 115.8, 126.0, 128.1, 128.6, 129.9, 135.0, 144.5, 146.5, 153.1.

5-Nitro-1,10-phenanthroline (19N).[33] Light-yellow solid (183 mg, 81%), mp 199−201 °C (lit. 200 °C).[33] R_f 0.16 in ethyl acetate−hexane (2:8). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.77−7.83 (m, 2H), 8.42 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1H), 8.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.29 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 9.36 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 120.7, 124.2, 124.3, 125.3, 125.4, 132.1, 137.8, 143.9, 145.9, 147.3, 151.4, 153.4.

2,2′-Dinitrobiphenyl (20N).[34] Brown solid (203 mg, 83%), mp 121−122 °C (lit. 120−122 °C).[34] R_f 0.32 in ethyl acetate−hexane (1:9). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.29−7.34 (m, 2H), 7.58−7.68 (m, 4H), 8.18−8.23 (m, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 124.7, 129.1, 131.0, 133.4, 134.2, 147.2.

1,8-Dinitronaphthalene (21N).[35] Brown solid (177 mg, 81%), mp 169−171 °C (lit. 171−172 °C).[35] R_f 0.33 in ethyl acetate−hexane (1:9). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.92−7.97 (m, 2H), 8.51 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.60 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 115.1, 127.0, 127.4, 134.6, 134.8, 144.6.

2,7-Dinitro-9-fluorenone (22N).[36] Brown solid (232 mg, 86%), mp 295 °C (lit. 296 °C).[36] R_f 0.48 in ethyl acetate−hexane (2:8). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.55−8.67 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 119.5, 124.7, 131.5, 136.0, 147.8, 150.1, 190.8.

2-Methyl-2-nitropropane (23N).[37] Light-yellow oil (82 mg, 79%). R_f 0.94 in ethyl acetate−hexane (0.5:9.5). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.62 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 27.9, 85.2.

Nitrocyclohexane (24N).[38] Light-yellow oil (106 mg, 82%). R_f 0.91 in ethyl acetate−hexane (0.5:9.5). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.09−2.46 (m, 10H), 4.37−4.42 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 24.2, 24.8, 31.0, 84.7.

1-Nitroadamantane (25N).[39] Pale-yellow solid (143 mg, 79%), mp 158−159 °C (lit. 159 °C).[39] R_f 0.89 in ethyl acetate−hexane (0.5:9.5). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.64−1.67 (m, 6H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 2.18 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 29.7, 35.5, 40.8, 84.7.

2-Methyl-2-nitrohexane (26N).[40] Colorless oil (112 mg, 77%). R_f 0.92 in ethyl acetate−hexane (0.5:9.5). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 0.83 (t, 3H), 1.18−1.52 (m, 10H), 1.99−2.01 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 13.8, 19.8, 23.2, 25.5, 41.9, 88.2.

3-Nitropentane (27N).[40] Pale-yellow oil (94 mg, 80%). R_f 0.92 in ethyl acetate−hexane (0.5:9.5). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 0.95 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 1.77−2.0 (m, 4H), 4.27−4.35 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 10.3, 26.9, 91.9.

Nitrocyclopentane (28N).[41] Colorless oil (90 mg, 78%). R_f 0.92 in ethyl acetate−hexane (0.5:9.5). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.65−2.46 (m, 8H), 4.76−4.96 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 24.7, 32.6, 87.0.

2,3-Dimethyl-2,3-dinitrobutane (29N).[42] Light-yellow solid (122 mg, 69%), mp 215 °C (lit. 214 °C).[42] R_f 0.67 in ethyl acetate−hexane (0.5:9.5). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2.76 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 23.6, 92.8.

Fexinidazole (30N).[43] Colorless solid (230 mg, 82%), mp 51−54 °C (lit. 50−54 °C).[43] R_f 0.28 in ethyl acetate−hexane (2:8). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 7.01−7.09 (m, 2H), 7.21−7.30 (m, 2H), 8.07 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 27.7, 30.6, 56.9, 117.9, 129.8, 131.0, 131.3, 142.9, 157.8, 161.8.

Nitazoxanide (31N).[44] Light-yellow solid (218 mg, 71%), mp 200−202 °C (lit. 202 °C).[44] R_f 0.19 in ethyl acetate−hexane (2:8). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2.29 (s, 3H), 7.24−7.31 (m, 1H), 7.37−7.46 (m, 1H), 7.60−7.68 (m, 1H), 7.81−7.86 (m, 1H), 8.56 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 20.6, 123.2, 125.2, 125.6, 129.6, 133.2, 141.7, 142.2, 148.7, 161.9, 165.0, 168.6.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

ⓢ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02133.

    ¹H and ¹³C spectra for all compounds prepared by the method described (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: pnataraj@pu.ac.in

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

■ REFERENCES

(1) A substrate substituted by group/s other than hydrogen is called *ipso* substitutions, see: (a) Olah, G. A.; Malhotra, R.; Narang, S. C. *Nitration: Methods and Mechanisms*; VCH: New York, 1989. (b) Schofield, K. *Aromatic Nitration*; University Press: Cambridge, 1980. (c) Ono, N. *The Nitro Group in Organic Synthesis*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001. (d) Ballini, R.; Bosica, G.; Fiorini, D.; Palmieri, A.; Petrini, M. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 933.

(2) (a) Verboom, W.; Durie, A.; Egberink, R. J. M.; Asfari, Z.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Org. Chem.* 1992, 57, 1313−1316. (b) Barnes, C. E.; Feldman, K. S.; Johnson, M. W.; Lee, H. W. H.; Myhre, P. C. *J. Org. Chem.* 1979, 44, 3925−3930.

(3) (a) Geppert, J. T.; Johnson, M. W.; Myhre, P. C.; Woods, S. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981, 103, 2057−2062. (b) Banwell, T.; Morse, C. S.; Myhre, P. C.; Vollmar, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 3042−3051. (c) Fors, B. P.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 12898−12899.

(4) (a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Panja, C.; Mathew, T.; Surampudi, V.; Petasis, N. A.; Olah, G. A. *Org. Lett.* 2004, 6, 2205−2207. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mathew, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 1726−1728. (c) Manna, S.; Maity, S.; Rana, S.; Agasti, S.; Maiti, D. *Org. Lett.* 2012, 14, 1736−1739.

(5) (a) Zweig, A.; Huffman, K. R.; Nachtigall, G. W. *J. Org. Chem.* 1977, 42, 4049−4052. (b) Perrin, C. L.; Skinner, G. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1971, 93, 3389−3394. (c) Li, W.; Li, J.; Lin, M.; Wacharasindhu, S.; Tabei, K.; Mansour, T. S. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 72, 6016−6021.

(6) Hahn, R. C.; Groen, M. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973, 95, 6128−6129.

(7) The *ipso*-nitration of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids, see: (a) Manna, S.; Jana, S.; Saboo, T.; Maji, A.; Maiti, D. *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49, 5286−5288. (b) Rokade, B. V.; Prabhu, K. R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2013, 11, 6713−6716. (c) Yan, G.; Borah, A. J.; Wang, L. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2014, 12, 6049−6058.

(8) *Boronic Acids*; Hall, D. G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Singapore, 2011.

(9) Approximate prices of phenylboronic acid (≈95%, 250 g, USD 262−290) and benzoic acid (>99%, 250 g, USD 25−39) from reputed sellers.

(10) (a) Joule, J. A.; Mills, K. *Heterocyclic Chemistry*; Blackwell Science: Malden, MA, 2000. (b) Zeng, Y.-F.; Hu, X.; Liu, F.-C.; Bu, X.-H. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, 38, 469−480. (c) Furukawa, H.; Cordova, K. E.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* 2013, 341, 6149. (d) Moorthy, J. N.; Natarajan, R.; Mal, P.; Venugopalan, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 6530−6531. (e) Natarajan, R.; Savitha, G.; Moorthy, J. N. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2005, 5, 69−72.

(11) (a) Myers, A. G.; Tanaka, D.; Mannion, M. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 11250−11251. (b) Goossen, L. J.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. *Science* 2006, 313, 662−664. (c) Noel, T.; Buchwald, S. L. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 5010−5029. (d) Cho, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 5068−5083. (e) Shi, W.; Liu, C.; Lei, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 2761−2776.

(12) A single report on the *ipso*-nitration of aromatic carboxylic acids is known so far, see: Baruah, D.; Pahari, P.; Konwar, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 2418−2421.

(13) (a) Crich, D. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Steven, V. L., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, U.K.; Vol. 7, 1991. (b) Lebrasseur, N.; Larrosa, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 2926−2927.

(14) (a) Goossen, L. J.; Rodriguez, N.; Melzer, B.; Linder, C.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 4824−4833. (b) Goossen, L. J.; Paetzold, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1237−1241. (c) Goossen, L. J.; Paetzold, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1095−1098. (d) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1147−1169.

(15) (a) Natarajan, P.; Vagicherla, V. D.; Vijayan, M. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 3511−3515. (b) Natarajan, P.; Vagicherla, V. D.; Vijayan, M. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 5817−5821.

(16) Gilman, H.; Wright, G. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1930**, *52*, 2550−2554.

(17) Aridoss, G.; Laali, K. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 8088−8094.

(18) Bartoli, G.; Bosco, M.; Baccolini, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 522−524.

(19) Blair, J. B.; Kurrasch-Orbaugh, D.; Marona-Lewicka, D.; Cumbay, M. G.; Watts, V. J.; Barker, E. L.; Nichols, D. E. *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *43*, 4701−4710.

(20) Lee, Y. M.; Moon, M. E.; Vajpayee, V.; Filimonov, V. D.; Chi, K.-W. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 7418−7422.

(21) Supsana, P.; Liaskopoulos, T.; Tsoungas, P.; Varvounis, G. *Synlett* **2007**, *17*, 2671−2674.

(22) Matthews, D. P.; Whitten, J. P.; McCarthy, J. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 4861−4864.

(23) Owston, N. A.; Nixon, T. D.; Parker, A. J.; Whittlesey, M. K.; Williams, J. M. J. *Synthesis* **2009**, *2009*, 1578−1581.

(24) Moon, M. E.; Choi, Y.; Lee, Y. M.; Vajpayee, V.; Trusova, M.; Filimonov, V. D.; Chi, K.-W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 6769−6771.

(25) Ganguly, N. C.; Mondal, P. *Synth. Commun.* **2011**, *41*, 2374−2384.

(26) Silva, L. F., Jr.; Sousa, R. M. F.; Ferraz, H. M. C.; Aguilar, A. M. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *16*, 1160−1173.

(27) Wei, J.-F.; Jiao, J.; Feng, J.-J.; Lv, J.; Zhang, X.-R.; Shi, X.-Y.; Chen, Z.-G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 6283−6286.

(28) Ames, D. E.; Opalko, A. *Synthesis* **1983**, *1983*, 234−235.

(29) Iihama, T.; Fu, J.-M.; Bourguignon, M.; Snieckus, V. *Synthesis* **1989**, *1989*, 184−188.

(30) Noland, W. E.; Kedrowski, B. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 8366−8373.

(31) Brown, E.; Neil, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1961**, *26*, 3546−3547.

(32) Potts, K. T.; Bhattacharjee, D.; Walsh, E. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2011−2021.

(33) Shukla, S. N.; Gaur, P.; Mehrotra, R.; Prasad, M.; Kaur, H.; Prasad, M.; Srivastava, R. S. *J. Coord. Chem.* **2009**, *62*, 2556−2568.

(34) Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Mahuteau-Betzer, F.; Ahr, M. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1943−1946.

(35) Klemm, L. H.; Sprague, J. W.; Mak, E. Y. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1957**, *22*, 161−166.

(36) Zhang, X.; Ji, X.; Jiang, S.; Liu, L.; Weeks, B. L.; Zhang, Z. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13*, 1891−1896.

(37) Riebel, A. H.; Erickson, R. E.; Abshire, C. J.; Bailey, P. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, *82*, 1801−1807.

(38) Amrollah-Madjdabadi, A.; Beugelmans, R.; Lechevallier, A. *Synthesis* **1986**, *1986*, 826−828.

(39) Murray, R. W.; Jeyaraman, R.; Mohan, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 2335−2336.

(40) Katritzky, A. R.; Kashmiri, M. A.; Wittmann, D. K. *Tetrahedron* **1984**, *40*, 1501−1510.

(41) Kornblum, N.; Powers, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1957**, *22*, 455−456.

(42) Morin, J. B.; Sello, J. K. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3522−3524.

(43) Torreele, E.; Bourdin, T. B.; Tweats, D.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R.; Mazue, G.; Bray, M. A.; Pecoul, B. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2010**, *4*, e293.

(44) de Carvalho, L. P.; Lin, G.; Jiang, X.; Nathan, C. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 5789−5792.

(45) Both radical and ionic mechanisms were proposed for decarboxylation reaction, see: (a) Nackos, A. N.; Truong, T. V.; Pulsipher, T. C.; Kimball, J. A.; Tolley, H. D.; Robison, R. A.; Bartholomew, C. H.; Lee, M. L. *Anal. Methods* **2011**, *3*, 245−258. (b) Britt, P. F.; Buchanan, A. C.; Eskay, T. P.; Mungallt, W. S. *217th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society March 1999, paper no. 35802*. (c) Smith, M. B.; March, J. *March's Advanced Organic Chemistry:Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure*; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2007. The ionic reagents and polar solvent system, employed here, may favor the ionic mechanism over radical mechanism.

(46) (a) Lal, S.; Rajkumar, S.; Tare, A.; Reshmi, S.; Chowdhury, A.; Namboothiri, I. N. N. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2014**, *9*, 3533−3541. (b) Nair, D. K.; Mobin, S. M.; Namboothiri, I. N. N. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4580−4583. (c) Taniguchi, T.; Fujii, T.; Ishibashi, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 8126−8132.